

IN THE  
Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE  
Fifth Appellate District

**F059830      In re J.G., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law**  
The above-entitled case is submitted for decision.

**F059830      In re J.G., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law**  
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled  
action is dismissed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

**F057860      People v. Davis**  
**F057861      People v. Davis**

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

**F057860      People v. Davis**

The judgment in case No. BF126574A and the order finding defendant violated his probation in case No. BF117369A are reversed. The case is remanded to the trial court with directions to permit defendant to withdraw his guilty plea in case No. BF126574A, to vacate its order denying the motions to suppress, to enter a new order granting the motions to suppress, and to undertake any other necessary proceedings in accordance with applicable law.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

**F059807      B.M. v. The Superior Court of Merced County; Merced County Human Services**

The Let an extraordinary writ issue directing the juvenile court to vacate its finding petitioner was provided reasonable services and its order setting a section 366.26 hearing issued on March 22, 2010. The court is further directed to conduct a new 12-month review hearing and order the agency to revise petitioner's reunification plan and provide her an additional six months of reunification services. The revised reunification plan must be appropriate to petitioner's circumstances, consistent with a plan to reunify her with T. and designed to maximize their contact. The court is further directed to order the agency to evaluate petitioner's Oregon relatives for placement. After the assessment is complete, the court shall conduct a hearing and consider whether

IN THE

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

relative placement is appropriate for T. If the court does not place T. with a relative who has been considered for placement, the court shall state its reasons for the record. (§ 361.3, subd. (e).) If the court decides to place T. with a relative, the court shall implement a transition plan.

This opinion is not to be construed as a directive to place T. with a relative. Rather, it is intended to ensure that the juvenile court and the agency comply with section 361.3.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]